

REMARKS

I. Introduction

In response to the Office Action dated January 22, 2010, claims 1, 19 and 37 have been amended. Claims 1, 4-9, 11-19, 22-27, 29-37, 40-45 and 47-54 remain in the application. Re-examination and re-consideration of the application, as amended, is requested.

II. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112

On page 2 of the Office Action, claims 1, 4-9, 11-19, 22-27, 29-37, 40-45 and 47-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant's attorney has amended the claims as indicated above to overcome these rejections.

On pages 2-3 of the Office Action, claims 1, 4-9, 11-19, 22-27, 29-37, 40-45 and 47-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, sixth paragraph, as it is unclear whether the recited structure, material, or acts are sufficient for performing the claimed function which would preclude application of 35 U.S.C. §112, sixth paragraph.

Applicant's attorney has amended the claims as indicated above to overcome these rejections.

III. Conclusion

In view of the above, it is submitted that this application is now in good order for allowance and such allowance is respectfully solicited. Should the Examiner believe minor matters still remain that can be resolved in a telephone interview, the Examiner is urged to call Applicant's undersigned attorney.

Please consider this a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME for a sufficient number of months to enter these papers, if appropriate. Please charge all fees to Deposit Account No. 50-4370 of Teradata Corporation (the assignee of the present application).

Respectfully submitted,

GATES & COOPER LLP
Attorneys for Applicant

Howard Hughes Center
6701 Center Drive West, Suite 1050
Los Angeles, California 90045
(310) 641-8797

Date: April 22, 2010

GHG/

G&C 30145.440-US-01

By: /George H. Gates/
Name: George H. Gates
Reg. No.: 33,500